# Sparse Partial Least Squares for Coarse Noisy Graph Alignment

Michael Weylandt

IEEE Statistical Signal Processing Workshop 2021 (Virtual)

IC Postdoctoral Research Fellow Univeristy of Florida Informatics Institute

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Co-Authors: George Michailidis (UF) and Mitch Roddenberry (Rice ECE)





#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Co-Authors: George Michailidis (UF) and Mitch Roddenberry (Rice ECE)





US Intelligence Community Postdoctoral Research Fellowship



#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Co-Authors: George Michailidis (UF) and Mitch Roddenberry (Rice ECE)





US Intelligence Community Postdoctoral Research Fellowship



Discussions: Genevera Allen (Rice U) <sup>1</sup>

# NETWORK DATA

Ubiquitous Network Data: telecommunications, social media, neuroscience, sensor networks, transportation, *etc.*

Three types of network data:

• Networks as models of complex phenomena ("graphical models")



Three types of network data:

- Networks as models of complex phenomena ("graphical models")
- Observed network(s) ("network science")



Three types of network data:

- Networks as models of complex phenomena ("graphical models")
- Observed network(s) ("network science")
- Data observed on a network ("graph signal processing")



Three types of network data:

- Networks as models of complex phenomena ("graphical models")
- Observed network(s) ("network science")
- Data observed on a network ("graph signal processing")



Today: contributions to network science and graph signal processing

# COMMUNITY DETECTION

Community detection - dividing an observed network into meaningful groups ("communities")



Very well studied problem (Levina, Zhu, Amini, Vershynin, Bickel ...) 3

Graph alignment:

- $\cdot$  Input: two graphs  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  Output: Mapping between  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$  so that the graphs are (nearly) "the same" under some metric

Can require exact alignment or allow inexact matching (possibly on different size graphs)

Graph alignment:

- $\cdot$  Input: two graphs  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  Output: Mapping between  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$  so that the graphs are (nearly) "the same" under some metric

Can require exact alignment or allow inexact matching (possibly on different size graphs)

Coarse graph alignment:

- $\cdot$  Input: two graphs  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  Output: Communities in  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  and **mapping between them**

Graph alignment:

- $\cdot$  Input: two graphs  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  Output: Mapping between  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$  so that the graphs are (nearly) "the same" under some metric

Can require exact alignment or allow inexact matching (possibly on different size graphs)

Coarse graph alignment:

- $\cdot$  Input: two graphs  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  Output: Communities in  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$  and **mapping between them**

Goal: Coarse graph alignment using noisy graph signals on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ 

## COARSE GRAPH ALIGNMENT VIA GRAPH SIGNAL PROCESSING

Goal: Coarse graph alignment using noisy graph signals on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$ 





## EXAMPLE: COARSE ALIGNMENT VIA GRAPH SIGNAL PROCESSING









- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

Solution: Graph-Regularized Sparse Multi-Rank PLS

arg max  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{n_1 + \alpha_1 L_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{n_2 + \alpha_2 L_2}$  $Tr(U' | X_1' X_2 V) - \lambda_1 P_1(U) - \lambda_2 P_2(V)$ 

- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

Solution: Graph-Regularized Sparse Multi-Rank PLS

arg max  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{n_1 + \alpha_1 L_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{n_2 + \alpha_2 L_2}$  $Tr(U' | X_1' X_2 V) - \lambda_1 P_1(U) - \lambda_2 P_2(V)$ 

Decompose  $X_1^T X_2$  into two parts *U*, *V* such that:

- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

Solution: Graph-Regularized Sparse Multi-Rank PLS

arg max  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{n_1 + \alpha_1 L_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{n_2 + \alpha_2 L_2}$  $Tr(U' | X_1' X_2 V) - \lambda_1 P_1(U) - \lambda_2 P_2(V)$ 

Decompose  $X_1^T X_2$  into two parts *U*, *V* such that:

• correlation between signals

- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

Solution: Graph-Regularized Sparse Multi-Rank PLS

arg max  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{n_1 + \alpha_1 L_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{n_2 + \alpha_2 L_2}$  $Tr(U' | X_1' X_2 V) - \lambda_1 P_1(U) - \lambda_2 P_2(V)$ 

Decompose  $X_1^T X_2$  into two parts *U*, *V* such that:

- correlation between signals
- in a way that respects graph structure

$$
U\in\mathcal{V}^{I_{n_1}+\alpha_1L_1}\Leftrightarrow U^T(I_{n_1}+\alpha_1L_1)U=I
$$

- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

Solution: Graph-Regularized Sparse Multi-Rank PLS

arg max  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{n_1 + \alpha_1 L_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{n_2 + \alpha_2 L_2}$  $Tr(U' | X_1' X_2 V) - \lambda_1 P_1(U) - \lambda_2 P_2(V)$ 

Decompose  $X_1^T X_2$  into two parts *U*, *V* such that:

- correlation between signals
- in a way that respects graph structure
- and selects a sparse set of nodes

- Graphs have "paired" community structure
- $\cdot$  If community of  $\mathcal{G}_1$  reflects signal, so does corresponding in  $\mathcal{G}_2$
- $\cdot$  No other structural assumptions on  $\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2$

Solution: Graph-Regularized Sparse Multi-Rank PLS

arg max  $U, V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{n_1 + \alpha_1 L_1} \times \mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{n_2 + \alpha_2 L_2}$  $Tr(U' | X_1' X_2 V) - \lambda_1 P_1(U) - \lambda_2 P_2(V)$ 

Decompose  $X_1^T X_2$  into two parts *U*, *V* such that:

- correlation between signals
- in a way that respects graph structure
- and selects a sparse set of nodes

Sparsity + Orthogonality *≈* Non-overlapping support

Paired columns of **U**, **V** give corresponding community membership

## ALGORITHM: MANIFOLD OPTIMIZATION

#### Sparse Graph-Smooth PLS Algorithm

- 1. Construct Graph Laplacians **L**<sub>1</sub>, **L**<sub>2</sub> and associated smoothing matrices  $S_1 = I_{n_1} + \alpha_1 I_1$ ,  $S_2 = I_{n_2} + \alpha_2 I_2$
- 2. Initialize  $\hat{\bm{\mathsf{U}}}, \hat{\bm{\mathsf{V}}}$  to the leading *K* singular vectors of **X**<sup>⊤</sup>, **X**<sub>2</sub>
- 3. Repeat until convergence:

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{U} &= \mathop{\arg\min}_{U \in \mathop{\text{conv}}\mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{S_1}} - \mathop{\text{Tr}}(U^\top X_1^\top X_2 \hat{V}) + \lambda_1 P_1(U) \\ \hat{V} &= \mathop{\arg\min}_{V \in \mathop{\text{conv}}\mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{S_2}} - \mathop{\text{Tr}}(V^\top X_2^\top X_1 \hat{U}) + \lambda_2 P_2(V) \end{aligned}
$$

4. Return  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{V}$ 

## ALGORITHM: MANIFOLD OPTIMIZATION

#### Sparse Graph-Smooth PLS Algorithm

- 1. Construct Graph Laplacians **L**<sub>1</sub>, **L**<sub>2</sub> and associated smoothing matrices  $S_1 = I_{n_1} + \alpha_1 I_1$ ,  $S_2 = I_{n_2} + \alpha_2 I_2$
- 2. Initialize  $\hat{\bm{\mathsf{U}}}, \hat{\bm{\mathsf{V}}}$  to the leading *K* singular vectors of **X**<sup>⊤</sup>, **X**<sub>2</sub>
- 3. Repeat until convergence:

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{U} &= \mathop{\arg\min}_{U \in \mathop{\text{conv}}\mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{S_1}} - \mathop{\text{Tr}}(U^\top X_1^\top X_2 \hat{V}) + \lambda_1 P_1(U) \\ \hat{V} &= \mathop{\arg\min}_{V \in \mathop{\text{conv}}\mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{S_2}} - \mathop{\text{Tr}}(V^\top X_2^\top X_1 \hat{U}) + \lambda_2 P_2(V) \end{aligned}
$$

4. Return  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{V}$ 

Application of Multi-Rank Regularized PCA (Weylandt, [2019](#page-35-0)) to PLS

## ALGORITHM: MANIFOLD OPTIMIZATION

#### Sparse Graph-Smooth PLS Algorithm

- 1. Construct Graph Laplacians **L**<sub>1</sub>, **L**<sub>2</sub> and associated smoothing matrices  $S_1 = I_{n_1} + \alpha_1 I_1$ ,  $S_2 = I_{n_2} + \alpha_2 I_2$
- 2. Initialize  $\hat{\bm{\mathsf{U}}}, \hat{\bm{\mathsf{V}}}$  to the leading *K* singular vectors of **X**<sup>⊤</sup>, **X**<sub>2</sub>
- 3. Repeat until convergence:

$$
\begin{aligned} \hat{U} &= \mathop{\arg\min}_{U \in \mathop{\text{conv}}\mathcal{V}_{n_1 \times K}^{S_1}} - \mathop{\text{Tr}}(U^\top X_1^\top X_2 \hat{V}) + \lambda_1 P_1(U) \\ \hat{V} &= \mathop{\arg\min}_{V \in \mathop{\text{conv}}\mathcal{V}_{n_2 \times K}^{S_2}} - \mathop{\text{Tr}}(V^\top X_2^\top X_1 \hat{U}) + \lambda_2 P_2(V) \end{aligned}
$$

4. Return  $\hat{U}$  and  $\hat{V}$ 

Application of Multi-Rank Regularized PCA (Weylandt, [2019](#page-35-0)) to PLS Solve Uˆ*,* Vˆ-subproblems via Manifold ADMM (Kovnatsky *et al.*, [2016](#page-35-1))

# ALGORITHM: GREEDY VARIANT

#### Sparse Graph-Smooth PLS Algorithm (Greedy Variant for Large Graphs)

- 1. Construct Graph Laplacians and smoothing matrices with leading eigenvalues  $\ell_1 = \lambda_{\text{max}}(S_1)$  and  $\ell_2 = \lambda_{\text{max}}(S_2)$
- 2. Initialize **C**<sub>1</sub> := **X**<sub>1</sub>'**X**<sub>2</sub>
- 3. For  $k = 1, \ldots K$ :
	- 3.1 Initialize  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_k$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_k$  to the leading singular vectors of  $\mathbf{C}_k$
	- 3.2 Repeat until convergence:

3.2.1 iterate **u**-update:  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_k \propto \text{prox}_{\frac{\lambda_1}{\ell_1}P_1(\cdot)}$  $(u_k + \ell_1^{-1} (C_k \hat{v}_k - S_1 u_k))$ 

*ℓ*1 3.2.2 iterate **v**-update:  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_k \propto \text{prox}_{\frac{\lambda_2}{\ell_2}P_2(\cdot)}$  $(\mathsf{v}_k + \ell_2^{-1} \left( \mathsf{C}_k^\top \hat{\mathsf{u}}_k - \mathsf{S}_2 \mathsf{v}_k \right)$ 

3.3 Set 
$$
C_{k+1} := C_k - \frac{c_k \mathfrak{d}_k \mathfrak{d}_k^T C_k}{\mathfrak{a}_k^T C_k \mathfrak{d}_k}
$$

4. Return  $\{\hat{\mathbf{u}}_k\}_{k=1}^K$  and  $\{\hat{\mathbf{v}}_k\}_{k=1}^K$ 

Combines Rank-1 Sparse and Functional PCA (Allen and Weylandt, [2019\)](#page-35-2) with Schur Deflation (Weylandt, [2019\)](#page-35-0) <sup>9</sup>

## THEORETICAL PROPERTIES

#### Regularity and Optimality Guarantees

The CONGA problem is well-posed

- 1. *|*U *∗ ·k ∥S*1 is either 0 or 1 for all *k*. Similarly for V *∗* .
- 2. If (U *∗ ,* V *∗* ) *̸*= (0*,* 0), the SGPLS solution (U *∗ ,* V *∗* ) depends smoothly on all (non-zero) regularization parameters.

## THEORETICAL PROPERTIES

#### Regularity and Optimality Guarantees

The CONGA problem is well-posed

- 1. *|*U *∗ ·k ∥S*1 is either 0 or 1 for all *k*. Similarly for V *∗* .
- 2. If (U *∗ ,* V *∗* ) *̸*= (0*,* 0), the SGPLS solution (U *∗ ,* V *∗* ) depends smoothly on all (non-zero) regularization parameters.

Furthermore, the proposed algorithms are well-behaved:

1. Step 3.2.1 of the greedy algorithm converges to a stationary point of 1 2

$$
\underset{u: u^{+}(I+\alpha_{1}L_{1})u \leq 1}{arg \ min} \frac{1}{2} \|C_{k}\hat{v}_{k} - u\|_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1}P_{1}(u) + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}u^{+}L_{1}u
$$

Furthermore, if  $P_1$  is convex, the convergence is monotone, at an *O*(1*/K*) rate, and to a global solution. Similar for Step 3.2.2

2. If  $P_1, P_2$  are both convex, then  $(\hat{\bm{u}}_k, \hat{\bm{v}}_k)$  returned by each step 3(b) of the greedy algorithm is both a coordinate-wise global maximum (Nash point) and a stationary point of SGPLS. 10 Simulation:

- *G*<sup>1</sup> : 4-block SBM (25, 25, 25, 25) with *p* = 0*.*95*, q* = 0*.*2
- $\cdot$   $\mathcal{G}_2$ : 4-block SBM (40, 30, 25, 55) with  $p = 0.95, q = 0.2$
- *m* = 1000 signals:  $SNR_{G_1} = 0.2$ ,  $SNR_{G_2} = 0.163$
- Oracle tuning for all methods



## CONGA VIA SPARSE GRAPH PLS















Coarse Network Alignment:



Coarse Network Alignment:

- Community detection from multiple unaligned graphs
- Identifies matched communities based on common signals



Coarse Network Alignment:

- Community detection from multiple unaligned graphs
- Identifies matched communities based on common signals

Coarse Network Alignment: ArXiv 2104.02810 Thank you!

<span id="page-35-2"></span>Allen, Genevera I. and Michael Weylandt (2019). "Sparse and Functional Principal Components Analysis". In: *DSW 2019: Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE Data Science Workshop*. Ed. by George Karypis, George Michailidis, and Rebecca Willett. Minneapolis, Minnesota: IEEE, pp. 11–16.

- <span id="page-35-1"></span>Kovnatsky, Artiom, Klaus Glashoff, and Michael M. Bronstein (2016). "MADMM: A Generic Algorithm for Non-Smooth Optimization on Manifolds". In: *ECCV 2016: Proceedings of the 14th European Conference on Computer Vision*. Ed. by Bastian Leibe, Jiri Matas, Nicu Sebe, and Max Welling. Vol. 9909. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, pp. 680–696.
- <span id="page-35-0"></span>Weylandt, Michael (2019). "Multi-Rank Sparse and Functional PCA: Manifold Optimization and Iterative Deflation Techniques". In: *CAMSAP 2019: Proceedings of the 8th IEEE Workshop on Computational Advances in Multi-Sensor Adaptive Processing*. Ed. by Geert Leus and Antonio G. Marques. Le Gosier, Guadaloupe, pp. 500–504.